1 2

William Paterson University – FACULTY SENATE MINUTES – March 23, 2021 FACULTY SENATE WEB PAGE <u>http://www.wpunj.edu/senate</u>

3

4 **PRESENT:** Abraham, Aktan, Andreopoulos, Brillante, Christensen Crick, Diamond, Duffy,

5 Ellis, Fuentes, Gazzillo Diaz, Hack, Helldobler, D. Hill, Jurado, Kaur, Kearney, Kecojevic,

6 Kollia, Liu, MacDonald, B. Marshall, Martus, McMahon, Monroe, Natrajan, O'Donnell, Owusu,

7 Powers, Pozzi, Rebe, Rosar, Sabogal, Schwartz, Shekari, Silva, Simon, Snyder, Steinhart,

8 Swanson, Tardi, Tosh, Vega, Verdicchio, Wallace, Watad, Weisberg, Williams

9

10 **ABSENT:** Jubran, Nyaboga

11

12 **GUESTS:** Alaya, Alford, Astarita, Bartle, Bolyai, Boucher, Bowrin, Brenensen, Broome,

13 Brown, Cammarata, Cannon, Cauthen, Chauhan, Chen, Choi, Corso, Davis, DeLoatch, De

14 Veyga, DiNoia, Fanning, Feola, Ferguson, Garvey, Galetz, Ginsberg, Goldstein, Griffin, S. Hill,

15 Jackson, Joachim, Jones, Lauby, Liautaud, Lincoln, Lockhart, Louie, Lowe, Lubeck, Marks,

- 16 Matthew, Miles, Moore, Napierkowski, Nassiripour, Ndjatou, Newman, Noonan, Nyulassy,
- 17 Ortiz, Owusu-Ansah, Panayides, Peek, Potacco, Reardon, Refsland, Ricupero, Rosenberg, Ross,
- 18 Ryblewski, Shalom, Sharma, Sheffield, Skoloda, Suess, Tesfaye, Tiernan, Vasquez, Weiland,
- 19 Weiner, Zeleke, Zeman
- 20

21 **PROCEDURAL NOTE:** All senator's microphones should be muted. When one wishes to

speak s/he should type SPEAK in the Chat box. Duffy and Ricupero will keep track of those

desiring to speak and the Secretary will recognize each in order. When recognized, the

speaker will then unmute the microphone. Only the Chair's screen will be visible. The session

will be recorded, but only the Secretary will have access to the recording.

- PRELIMINARIES: Chairperson Natrajan called the meeting to order at 12:30pm. Martus and
- 28 Kecojevic moved acceptance of the Agenda, which was adopted without objection. D. Hill and

Martus moved acceptance of the Minutes of the March 9th meeting, which were approved
 unanimously.

30 31

32 GRADUATE PROGRAMS COUNCIL: MBA: APPLIED BUSINESS ANALYTICS

33 CONCENTRATION: Choi and Watad moved acceptance of the Council's resolution, which
 34 was approved unanimously.

35

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES: Wallace and D. Hill moved
 acceptance of the membership for the Ad Hoc Committee. Martus expressed misgivings about

approving something that wasn't included in the Packet. Andreopoulos said that representation

should be equal among the colleges. Pozzi and Christensen also commented. It was approved

- 40 with three no votes and four abstentions.
- 41

42 Wallace informed the Senate that the Graduate Policy Council will be holding a virtual graduate 42 forum on April 23^{rd} A flyer will be sent to the entire campus with further details

43 forum on April 23^{rd} . A flyer will be sent to the entire campus with further details.

44

45 CHAIR'S REPORT:

46

47 Before I begin, I wish to extend a belated welcome from the Senate to two new members of the

48 administration - Dr. Guillermo de Veyga (Vice President for Strategic Initiatives and University

- 49 Relations), and Ms. Melissa Reardon (General Counsel to the University and the Board of
- 50 Trustees). Last week at the SEPP, the Senate Exec continued to ask the President and Provost for
- 51 further clarifications on several points.
- On the consulting firm EAB and program evaluations → we learned that the firm costs
 \$30K per year; that the Univ Exec is meeting soon on this, that Y1 & Y2 will be UG & Grad
 evaluations based on two broad criteria quality of program and market demand; that Y3 &
 Y4 would be an assessment based on any faculty response to the evaluations and the proposal
 of newly designed programs.
- On the budget deficit → We asked about how the improved enrolment numbers impact the
 budget deficit we were told that it does not since we took a hit in full-time in-state tuition;
 we also raised the issue of federal money received for COVID and how that would be used to
 offset the budget deficit. We also reiterated the importance of the Senate Budget Council on
- addressing financial concerns. That committee is currently in discussion w VP Bolyai.
- 62 On RTP → The process of discussions between departments and Deans is underway. To
 63 reiterate, the document does not come back to the Senate.
- 64 On Senate representation → We discusses the issue of representation. The Governance
 65 Council will present their models today.
- On Advisement and Fall delivery modalities → We made a case for the importance of
 faculty role in advisement and its impact on student retention; F21 will not have online
 synchronous.
- The SEPP met before the President's message of 3/19. That message clarifies some points andraises some others. I will mention two points here.
- 71 We need to be clear about the nature of the problem for which solutions are designed. For
- this, we must distinguish between **structural problems** and what social scientists call
- 73 **conjunctural** ones. While structural issues result from problems of architecture (i.e.,
- relations between elements of the whole), conjunctural issues result from a combination of
- external events and context (for example, the pandemic). This requires us to know how much
 of the budget deficit is really structural deficit? This will help us be careful about using
 structural solutions to fix conjunctural problems.
- Layoffs do have costs that are hidden but become visible quickly thereafter. We therefore
- need examples of how universities who have had layoffs and restructuring have performed
 after that shock? There are costs that impact branding and reputation, student and faculty
- 81 morale, and hence retention and enrolment.
- I now open up our first discussion which carries over from the last one on College Merger and
 anything related to Program Evaluations.

84

EAB INFORMATION: Before the discussion on the merger of the two colleges began,
questions arose regarding EAB, the consulting firm that is going to conduct the assessment of
our programs. Pozzi asked for more information. Natrajan repeated the points he made in the

Chair's Report, emphasizing the two main factors EAB will be using: labor needs and program 88 quality.

89

90 91 Helldobler noted that he expected to cover this at the next Senate meeting but provided the following information. EAB has a three-year contract with WPU. During the first year they will 92 do a portfolio health check of our undergraduate programs: Where do we have: High quality, 93 high market demand programs. High quality, low market demand programs. Low quality, high 94 95 market demand programs. Low quality, low market demand programs. The also do an opportunity scan: Where are the strengths in the labor market and where do we have faculty 96 strengths to build programs to meet the demand. In year two the same process will be done on 97 98 the graduate level. In year three EAB will do a 360-degree program analysis and help departments make themselves more competitive. He will prepare a document for presentation at 99

100 101

COLLEGE MERGERS: Williams picked up on Natrajan's remarks about structural change 102 and commented on Helldobler's letter to the Senate asking for empathy and trust. She noted that 103 104 many faculty and staff feel that we have not been trusted and have been overlooked in these conversations. He seems to have constructed a strict binary between the laborer and the 105 intellectual. He implied that those who work don't have the capacity or desire to innovate and 106 107 adapt, while the intellectual is a visionary entrepreneur creating new sorts of labor. She stated that the faculty and staff of WPU are laborers and intellectuals. Developing curriculum is 108 intellectual work, as are teaching, grading, advising, curating collections, creative work, 109 managing various programs, planning activities, etc. She asked that he trust and respect our 110 intellectual expertise and intellectual abilities and to acknowledge that our intellectual labor is 111 what makes this university function. We can strategize about programs and curricula that can 112 move WPU forward while preserving jobs. 113

114

115 Helldobler replied that he presented it as a dichotomy, not a strict binary. The Senate sent a very

clear message that it did not want to be engaged in program evaluation, hence the outside firm 116

will help us do that based on nationally normed variables. The opportunity scan doesn't develop 117

- programs. It simply tells us what the market demand is and here is where we have faculty 118 strengths. It's up to the faculty to develop competitive programs. 119
- 120

Christensen stated that the Senate has participated in developing criteria for program evaluation 121 in the past, but when layoffs loom, things are different. She noted that the Executive Committee 122 told the President and Provost we were concerned about an outside firm using their criteria, not 123 124 those we might use based on our mission and goals. We were assured that any changes based on the EAB report would come through the Senate. 125

126

127 Andreopoulos asked Helldobler if the firm would be looking at regional, state, national or international markets, or all of them. He told them to focus on the regional market since that is 128

129 where most of our graduates work.

the next Senate meeting.

- 130
- D. Hill pointed out that external reviewers we've use in the past were familiar with our 131
- 132 programs. She questions using outsiders who may not be representative of nor have knowledge

133 of our programs. She also asked how this program evaluation support decolonizing the

Helldobler repeated that EAB uses nationally normed data and that their report will be brought to

134 curriculum efforts?

135 136

the Senate for input before decisions are made. He then asked: If assessment doesn't lead to 137 growth, stabilization, shrinkage, or closure, are we really doing assessment? A program closure 138 doesn't necessarily mean faculty layoffs. They might be deployed in a different way. Even if 139 EAB tells us that there is low demand for a high-quality program that we consider mission 140 critical, especially around servicing minority populations or adding to decolonizing the 141 curriculum, we might decide that it's important enough for us to keep it. We need standardized 142 data as a starting point for our discussions. 143 144 145 Tardi, speaking as the Union president, supports external evaluation with the understanding, as the President promised, that it would come back to the Senate. What EAB recommends will not 146 be *fait accompli*, but we do need an objective body. EAB will not determine our future. They 147 will just make recommendations which will come back to the Senate for discussions and then 148 149 determinations will be made. 150 151 Marshall said that national norms may not work well with our current and future student 152 populations which are increasingly more diverse than the national norm population. 153 154 Ellis asked if EAB has worked with other New Jersey institutions and, if so, what were their recommendations? Helldobler said he's not sure, but if they have, they wouldn't be sharing that 155 information with us. 156 157 Kaur is concerned about a group that is not aware of our institutional priorities. Have they 158 worked with institutions like ours? We want a nuanced report, not a cookie-cutter approach. We 159 know our student constituency and we know the unique nature of WPU. These must be taken 160 161 into account. 162 Helldobler said that EAB was given the names of comparable peer institutions and reiterated that 163 theirs will just be a report. We will decide what to do with the information. 164 165 Simon noted that adjunct faculty are important and bring unique things to WPU. She is 166 concerned about EAB's experience with diversity and what their built-in biases may be. Will 167 they appreciate the diversity of our student population? 168 169 GOVERNANCE COUNCIL: RESOLUTIONS Galetz moved (Duffy seconded) to amend 170 171 Article 7 of the Constitution regarding meetings and procedures, to specify that the current edition of *Roberts' Rules of Order* be used. Martus noted that this is a constitutional amendment 172 which requires two readings. Marks agreed that it can't be voted upon today but can be done at 173 174 the next meeting. Constitutional amendments must be approved by the President and the Board of Trustees. Ellis asked if the Senate must always use Robert's Rules. Simon said that it is the 175 usual set of procedures followed and that the current revised edition should always be used. 176

- 177 Natrajan suggested using Roberta's Rules.
- 178

Galetz moved (Wallace seconded) the second Governance Council resolution to amend Article 7 179 regarding emergency meeting procedures, especially in case where the Senate can only be held 180 virtually. Simon and Galetz commented on the Chair's role in calling for a vote to call an 181 emergency meeting, and how such a vote would be conducted, and if a simple majority is 182 needed. Martus questioned the very idea of this amendment, saying that this seems like a lot of 183 work to do annually to prepare for something that may never occur. Marks stated that every 184 organization needs emergency procedures, and the Senate doesn't have any. We need something 185 in place as a back-up that can be reviewed on an annual basis. Simon, Wallace, Crick, Tardi and 186 Verdicchio agreed that emergency procedures are needed. Tardi suggested that the Governance 187 Council take a look at how Roberts' is addressing these issues. Duffy recalled how, when he was 188 first elected Chair at the end of the Spring semester, he immediately called an emergency 189 meeting because there was urgent need to adopt an online policy before the start of the Fall 190 semester. While it's always better to have formal procedures in place, the Chair must have the 191 ability to act in a true emergency. Natrajan suggested deleting "annually" and have a set of 192 procedures that could be changed as needed. 193 194

Galetz moved (Duffy seconded) the third Governance Council resolution to amend Article 7 toreflect current practice in how non-senators may be given the right to speak at a Senate meeting.

Martus noted potential problems by identifying the Chair as the person to grant permissions.

198 Duffy suggested "presiding officer." Martus and Crick motion to replace "chair" with "presiding 199 officer" was approved unanimously.

200

Galetz moved (Wallace seconded) the fourth Governance Council resolution to remove a
 temporal absurdity regarding voting on amendments, and to replace it with "at two consecutive
 meetings."

204

Natrajan said that the four resolutions will be voted upon at the next Senate meeting. Ellis
suggested voting on all four at once. Martus and Galetz said each resolution should be addressed
separately.

208

209 Martus asked about a motion made at the November 4, 2020 Senate directing the Governance

210 Council to hold for to obtain broader faculty input regarding the proposed changes in Senate

structure. Marks said Governance never received that directive. Martus noted that a subset of the

- 212 University will be making the decisions. Natrajan urged every senator to take the models back to
- their departments for discussion and input before the next meeting. Natrajan further stated that

the Governance Council models for representation will probably take up the rest of that meeting.

215 He believes that representation and reorganization are closely linked.

216

217 Powers briefly reported on WPU's successful Middle States reaccreditation. They noted no

requirements, made six recommendations, and offered seventeen pieces of advice. They also

219 highlighted thirteen exemplary practices. They encouraged us to think about our Mission

220 Statement (which doesn't note that WPU is an Hispanic Serving Institution). In response to

221 Crick's question, Powers said he will post the Middle States points soon, probably in one of his

222 Provost's Newsletters. Natrajan congratulated and thanked all who participated in the Middle

223 States process.

224

225	
226	
227	
228	ADJOURNMENT: Upon Martus' motion, the Senate adjourned at 1:48pm.
229	
230	The next meeting of the Faculty Senate will be held on Tuesday, April 13 th at 12:30pm.
231	
232	It will be an ONLINE meeting.
233	
234	Please "check in" as early as possible (ideally, before 12:30 so the secretaries can confirm
235	attendance).
236	
237	Respectfully Submitted: Bill Duffy, Secretary
238 239	
239	
240	
241	
242	
242	
243	